

Initiative on Rethinking Food Markets

Stakeholder workshop 2 October 2024, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Smart Sesame Marketing in Ethiopia

Kassie, G.T., G. Abate, Y. Worku, W. Asnake, S. Mesfin, and N. Minot

WP 1 – Global Value Chains – sesame and oilseeds value chains in Ethiopia



Justification – why sesame?

General

Potential of agricultural development was studied recently for 44 SSA countries and Ethiopia was one of the three countries – along with Nigeria and Tanzania – that comprise half of SSA's agricultural potential (Goedde et al., 2019).

Specific

- An empirical analysis that considered all value chains to be equally important for the economy prioritized
 - oilseeds,
 - fruits/tree crops,
 - vegetables,
 - tobacco/cotton/tea and cattle value chains in Ethiopia (Benfica and Thurlow, 2017).

Justification – why sesame?

- Sesame is the leading oil crop and the second most exported agricultural commodity in Ethiopia.
- Ethiopia makes around 2.64% of the global sesame production (FAOSTAT, 2020).
- Sesame contributes about 2.3% of grain production with a total production of 20,200 tons in the 2018/2019 production season.
- Main growing areas are the lowlands of northwest Ethiopia (80% of production) (CSA, 2020).

Justification – why sesame?

- Key constraints of the sesame value chain
 - Weather variability,
 - Low adoption of technologies,
 - Poor finance and infrastructure,
 - High production and transaction/marketing costs,
 - Low crop diversity in the sesame growing areas resulted in high disease infestation,
 - There is no sesame seed system applies to all oil crops, and
 - Heavy government intervention

The innovation

• Components

- Digital information services (DIS) [market information and weather data]
- Collective action (CA) training and supporting sesame growers to collectively act voluntarily.
- The value chain
 - Sesame Ethiopia's primary oil crop and second most exported agricultural commodity.
 - The sesame value chain is characterized by **low productivity**, **fragmentation**, **high transaction cost**, and **limited information** (FAO, 2015).
- Objective
 - Measuring the effect of DIS and CA on sesame productivity, the average price received by the producers, and farm income using a randomized control trial.

The Experiment

- Location
 - Central Gondar: Tach Armachiho & Tsegede
 - West Gondar: Metema & Mirab Armachiho
- Villages and households
 - 26 villages (520 households): Market information
 - 26 villages (520 households): Market information + collective action
 - 26 villages (520 households): Control
- Stakeholder engagement
 - Actively working with Gondar ARC, District Offices of Agriculture, and DAs.

Expected outcomes

- Collective action
 - Reduction in transaction cost.
 - Increase in average output price per unit.
- Digital information services
 - Increase in sesame yield.
 - Increase in cash income from crop production.
- We are to combine weather and market information.
 - Hence, pronounced level of impact is envisaged.

Partnership

- ICARDA and IFPRI identified and bundled the components of the innovation.
- Gondar ARC, District Offices of Agriculture, and DAs at the village level facilitated the implementation of the experiment.
- Bureaus of Agriculture, Cooperative Promotion Agencies, ECX, Regional ARIs, and Ethio-Telecom are expected to play a key role in scaling up.

Challenges and opportunities

- Challenge
 - limited mobility to and from the project site because of the ongoing civil war.
- Opportunity
 - the growing availability of telecommunication services.

Factors/conditions for adopting innovations

- Convincing scientific evidence on the impact of DIS and CA at farm household level.
- Reliability of data collected and information available for farmers.
- Flexibility of the cooperative registration laws to allow voluntary and small groups of collective action.
- Affordability of telecommunication services.

Intervention process

• Stakeholder engagement

• Actively working with Gondar ARC, District Offices of Agriculture, and DAs.

Timeline



